

Large Synoptic Survey Telescope (LSST)

LDM-503-3 (Alert Generation) Test Report

Eric C. Bellm, John D. Swinbank


DMTR-53

Latest Revision: 2018-02-07

DRAFT

Abstract

This is the test report for LDM-503-3 (Alert Generation), an LSST DM level 2 milestone pertaining to the LSST Alert Production System.



Change Record


| Version | Date | Description | Owner name |
|---------|------------|---|--------------------|
| 1.0 | 2018-01-11 | Initial version. | Bellm, Swinbank |
| 1.1 | 2018-01-12 | Released via RFC-429 | T. Jenness |
| 1.1.1 | 2018-02-07 | Typo in test specification name corrected | G. Dubois-Felsmann |

Document curator: Eric C. Bellm

Document source location: https://github.com/lsst-dm/DMTR-53


Version from source repository: a1eedb4





Contents

| 1 | Introduction | 1 |
|---|---------------------------------------|----|
| | 1.1 Objectives | 1 |
| | 1.2 Scope | 1 |
| | 1.3 System Overview | 1 |
| | 1.4 Applicable Documents | 2 |
| | 1.5 References | 2 |
| | 1.6 Document Overview | 2 |
| 2 | ? Test Configuration | 3 |
| | 2.1 Documents | 3 |
| | 2.2 Hardware | |
| | 2.3 Software | 3 |
| | 2.4 Input Data | 3 |
| 3 | 3 Personnel | 4 |
| 4 | Overview of the Test Results | 5 |
| | 4.1 Summary Table | 5 |
| | 4.2 Overall Assessment | 5 |
| | 4.3 Recommended Improvements | 6 |
| 5 | Detailed Test Results | 6 |
| | 5.1 AG-00-00 | 6 |
| | 5.2 AG-00-05 | 7 |
| | 5.3 AG-00-10 | 8 |
| | 5.4 AG-00-15 | 9 |
| | 5.5 AG-00-20 | 9 |
| | 5.6 AG-00-25 | 10 |
| 6 | Deviations from test cases/procedures | 11 |
| | 6.1 AG-00-00 | 11 |




| 6.2 | AG-00-05 | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | | | | 11 | 1 |
|-----|----------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|----|---|
| 6.3 | AG-00-10 | • | | | | | | • | • | | | • | | | | • | | | | 11 | 1 |
| 6.4 | AG-00-15 | • | | | | | | • | • | | | • | | | | • | | | | 11 | 1 |
| 6.5 | AG-00-20 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 11 | 1 |
| 66 | AG-00-25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 12 |) |

LDM-503-3 Test Report

DMTR-53

Latest Revision 2018-02-07





LDM-503-3 (Alert Generation) Test Report

1 Introduction

1.1 Objectives

This document describes the results of tests carried out in late (calendar) 2017 on the LSST Alert Production System in order to assess progress against the LSST DM level 2 milestone LDM-503-3. We report on the success or failure of applicable test cases and assess the state of the software and services tested.

1.2 Scope

The overall test plan for the LSST Data Management system is described in LDM-503. This document specifically refers to the late (calendar) 2017 milestone LDM-503-3, which tests the LSST Alert Production System. The overall LSST Alert Production System test specification is defined in LDM-533. The test plan for LDM-503-3 involves the execution of the entire AG-00 (Small Scale Alert Generation Processing) specification, including the following test cases:

- AG-00-00 Installation of the Alert Generation science payload
- **AG-00-05** Alert Generation Produces Required Data Products
- **AG-00-10** Scientific Verification of Processed Visit Images
- **AG-00-15** Scientific Verification of Difference Images
- AG-00-20 Scientific Verification of DIASource Catalog
- AG-00-25 Scientific Verification of DIAObject Catalog

1.3 System Overview

The LSST Alert Production System is that part of the LSST Data Management system which will be responsible for nightly data processing during LSST operations. The most prominent example of such processing is the generation of alerts from LSST difference images. However, the LSST Alert Production System is also responsible for the distribution and filtering of alerts,

DRAFT 1 DRAFT

excecution of precovery and forced photometry measurements, execution of the MOPS payload, and for the Level 1 Quality Control Service (LDM-148). The LDM-503-3 milestone focuses only on the alert generation part of the system.

Note that we may broadly think of the LSST Alert Production System as consisting of two independent parts: the Prompt and Offline Processing Services, which provide scheduling and workflow services, and the Science Payloads, which contain the algorithmic content. The LDM-503-3 milestone exercises only the science payloads.

1.4 Applicable Documents

LDM-294 LSST DM Project Management Plan

LDM-503 DM Test Plan

LDM-533 LSST Alert Production System Test Specification

1.5 References

- [1] **[LDM-533]**, Bellm, E.C., 2017, Level 1 System Software Test Specification, LDM-533, URL https://ls.st/LDM-533
- [2] **[DMTR-51]**, Bosch, J., Chiang, H.F., Gower, M., et al., 2017, *LDM-503-2 (HSC Reprocessing) Test Report*, DMTR-51, URL https://ls.st/DMTR-51
- [3] **[LDM-148]**, Lim, K.T., Bosch, J., Dubois-Felsmann, G., et al., 2017, *Data Management System Design*, LDM-148, URL https://ls.st/LDM-148
- [4] **[LDM-503]**, O'Mullane, W., Jurić, M., Economou, F., 2017, *Data Management Test Plan*, LDM-503, URL https://ls.st/LDM-503
- [5] **[LDM-294]**, O'Mullane, W., Swinbank, J., Jurić, M., DMLT, 2017, *Data Management Organization and Management*, LDM-294, URL https://ls.st/LDM-294

1.6 Document Overview

Section 2 of this document provides details of the LSST Alert Production System baseline used for this test, including relevant hardware and software configurations. Section 3 lists the in-

DRAFT 2 DRAFT

dividuals involved in performing the tests. Section 4 provides an overview of the test results, while Section 5 provides more detailed results from each individual test case.

2 Test Configuration

2.1 Documents

This test report refers to the execution of tests AG-00-00 through AG-00-25 in LDM-533 version 1.1.

2.2 Hardware

All tests were executed on systems in the LSST Data Facility.

Software installation (AG-00-00) and scientific analysis work (AG-00-05 through DRP-00-25) were carried out on lsst-dev01.ncsa.illinois.edu. At time of text execution, this was a Dell PowerEdge R730 with 24 physical Intel Xeon E5-2690v3 CPU cores at 2.60 GHz and 256 GB of RAM.

Bulk data processing (part of AG-00-05) was carried out on the LSST Verification Cluster (VC). At the time of test execution, the VC provided 48 Dell C6320 nodes, each with 24 physical Intel Xeon E5-2680v3 CPU cores at 2.50 GHz and 128 GB of RAM.

2.3 Software

All systems used for testing — including both 1sst-dev01 and the VC nodes — were running CentOS Linux release 7.4.1708. The $devtoo1set-6^1$ toolchain, including GCC^2 version 6.3.1, was enabled throughout these tests.


2.4 Input Data

Input data for all tests was based on the DeCAM "HiTS" dataset, as described in LDM-533.

DRAFT 3 DRAFT


¹https://access.redhat.com/documentation/en-us/red_hat_developer_toolset/6/html/6.0_release_notes/


²https://gcc.gnu.org/



3 Personnel

All test cases were executed by Eric Bellm (University of Washington).





4 Overview of the Test Results

4.1 **Summary Table**

| TEST CASE ID | PASS/FAIL | COMMENTS |
|--------------|--------------|--|
| AG-00-00 | Pass | Refer to §5.1. |
| AG-00-05 | Pass | One CCD improperly processed, some command execu- |
| | | tions incomplete due to inappropriate cluster configura- |
| | | tion. Test goals substantially met. Refer to §5.2. |
| AG-00-10 | Partial Pass | Some improvements in instrument signature removal |
| | | needed; §5.3 |
| AG-00-15 | Fail | Missing provenance information for difference images. |
| | | Refer to §5.4. |
| AG-00-20 | Pass | Many required DIASource catalog columns are not im- |
| | | plemented. However, this is expected for the current |
| | | stage of development. Refer to §5.5. |
| AG-00-25 | Pass | Many required DIAObject catalog columns are not im- |
| | | plemented. However, this is expected for the current |
| | | stage of development. Refer to §5.6. |

4.2 Overall Assessment

- Installation of the Alert Production pipelines was accomplished without difficulty.
- Execution of the Alert Production pipelines was generally successful. A minor subset of the expected products were not generated due to misconfiguration of the batch processing system, but this is not the mode of execution planned for operations.
- The resulting Processed Visit Images are appropriately processed and masked, in general, but some improvements in ISR are necessary. In particular, crosstalk corrections are not applied, and some masks are missing.
- Required provenance information for difference images are absent, and should be corrected once SuperTask and the new Butler are finalized.
- The DIASource catalogs only contain a subset of the many attributes required (see Table 1).

DRAFT 5 DRAFT

 The DIAObject catalogs at present only implement raw source association; all "lightcurve" features and related summary/aggregate information is missing.

4.3 Recommended Improvements

- The Alert Production pipelines should be integrated into lsst_distrib and high-level documentation provided through pipelines.lsst.io.
- Crosstalk corrections should be integrated into the ap_pipe pipeline.
- Provenance information for difference images should be provided.
- DIASource and DIAObject catalogs should be enhanced to contain all required attributes.
- DMS-REQ-0270 is untestable as written. Further clarification of the criteria by which subthreshold DIASources are selected to be stored should be defined.
- DMS-REQ-0331 should be clarified or enhanced—which models should have goodness of fit stored? Which color-color diagrams? Are there other quantities of interested that should be required?

5 Detailed Test Results

5.1 AG-00-00

The Alert Generation Science Payload is available from documented channels: PASSED.

We note that general-user documentation was in review at the time of this test (DM-11592).

The Alert Generation science payload can be installed on LSST Data Facility-managed systems: PASSED.

The string 0k was returned when executing

\$ python bin/compare expected/Linux64/detected-sources.txt

after running the demo.sh on both the cluster head node (1sst-dev01) and on an example compute node.

def test_valid(self):

One pytest error was encountered by ap_verify:

LDM-503-3 Test Report DMTR-53 Latest Revision 2018-02-07

```
______ MeasureRuntimeTestSuite.test_valid _____
self = <test_profiling.MeasureRuntimeTestSuite testMethod=test_valid>
```

```
meas = measure_runtime(self.task.getFullMetadata(), task_name='isr', metric_name='ip_isr.IsrTim
    self.assertIsInstance(meas, Measurement)
    self.assertEqual(meas.metric_name, lsst.verify.Name(metric='ip_isr.IsrTime'))
    self.assertGreater(meas.quantity, 0.0 * u.second)
```

AssertionError: <Quantity 0.0 s> not greater than <Quantity 0.0 s>

"""Verify that timing information can be recovered.

This is a unit test limitation already reported in DM-12848 and does not affect the functionality of the package.

5.2 AG-00-05

Ε

Execution of the Alert Generation Pipelines produces the expected data products: PASSED

We performed a Butler get for calexp, deepDiff_differenceExp, and deepDiff_diaSrc dataset types using dataIds constructed all visits and CCDs listed in the appendix of LDM-533.

We found several datalds where products were not generated:

- For visits 411321, 411371, and 411422, CCDs 48–60 and 62 were missing all three of calexp, deepDiff_differenceExp, and deepDiff_diaSrc products. This failure was due to the time limit being reached on the slurm execution script (which looped sequentially over CCDs for each visit).
- For visit 411657, CCD 47 only was missing all three products. Inspecting the log revealed an error:

DRAFT 7 DRAFT

File "/software/lsstsw/stack3_20171023/stack/miniconda3-4.3.21-10a4fa6/ Linux64/meas_algorithms/14.0-7-g23fdbe95+15/python/lsst/meas/algorithms/ measureApCorr.py", line 251, in run (name, len(subset2), self.config.minDegreesOfFreedom+1))

RuntimeError: Unable to measure aperture correction for required algorithm 'base_PsfFlux': only 0 sources, but require at least 2.

We note the need for increased SLURM timelimits in future executions of this test case. However, this is not regarded as fatal to the overall execution of this test case.

The exception raised for visit 411657, CCD 47 was due to a failure to select sufficient stars for PSF modeling. The root cause of this issue is under investigation on DM-13136. It is not regarded as critical to the successful execution of this test case.

We inspected the DIAObject table by opening the 11db/association.db sqlite database produced by ap_pipe with sqlite3. Issuing the query select * from dia_objects limit 10; confirmed that the database was non-empty.

5.3 AG-00-10

Generated PVIs include science, mask, and variance images; background model; zeropoint; PSF, and WCS: PASSED

For all of the generated PVIs, we used Butler calls to check for the existence image, mask, and variance planes; zeropoints, PSFs, and WCS. The background models are persisted separately, and were checked with appropriate Butler calls as well.

All datalds where data was generated in AG-00-05 had all of the required components.

Masks and background are appropriately applied: PARTIALLY PASSED

We chose two visits and five CCDs at random: visit 411673, CCDs 15, 32, 45, 55, and 57, and visit 410915, CCDs 3, 8, 23, 41, and 44.

We used the Butler and DS9 to examine these PVIs.

We note that crosstalk correction for DECam was not enabled at the time of processing, pending the implementation of ticket DM-10299.

DRAFT 8 DRAFT

We note that some evidently bad columns were left unmasked. This has been ticketed for investigation as DM-10381, which will be scheduled with upcoming development work. These bad columns were regarded as falling outside the acceptable levels for this test.

5.4 AG-00-15

Difference images include provenance information relating to the identity of the input exposures and the PSF matching kernel: FAILED

No direct provenance information about the identity of the calexp and template exposures is available through current Butler interfaces. While the input calexp can be reconstructed by matching datalds from the processed image repository, determining the template applied requires a new call to getCoaddAsTemplateTask, with concomitant restrictions on stack versioning, template repository association, etc. We have created a new ticket (DM-13085) to improve this handling.

For each difference image, we used Butler calls to confirm that the PSF matching kernel was stored. No failures were reported from the generated images.

Masks are correctly propagated from the input image: PASSED

We used the visits and CCDs from AG-00-10: visit 411673, CCDs 15, 32, 45, 55, and 57, and visit 410915, CCDs 3, 8, 23, 41, and 44.

We used the Butler and DS9 to compare the PVIs to the resulting differences. The difference image masks were often modified relative to the PVIs, making direct comparison more difficult. However, masks were seen to be propagated, with the notable exception of comsmic ray masks. This behaviour was identified in concurrent DRP milestone testing (DMTR-51), where it was not regarded as fatal to successful execution; it has subsequently been resolved (DM-9953).

5.5 AG-00-20

Measurements are Presented in Flux Units: PASSED

For all of the generated DIASource catalogs, we used Butler calls to check for the existence of PsfFlux or ApFlux entries. No failures were reported from the generated catalogs.

Each DIASource record contains required attributes: PASSED

DMS-REQ-0269 requires many attributes, which are summarized in Table 1. We determined which attributes were present by manually examining a randomly selected DIASource record retrieved from the Butler.

Many of the attributes required by DMS-REQ-0269 were not present. However, this is expected for the current stage of development. We verified that all attributes which are expected to be generated by the codebase at present were properly present. Those attributes which are missing will be added by future development work.

Faint DIASources satisfying additional criteria are stored: N/A

This test was not performed, due to inadequate requirements specification. Refer to §6.5.

Relevant derived quantities are provided in pre-computed columns: PASSED

Examination of the DIASource record shows two entries, ip_diffim_PsfDipoleFlux_chi2dof and ip_diffim_DipoleFit_chi2dof, which provide goodness of fit measures as required. Color-color diagrams are not relevant for single-visit DIASource catalogs. The requirement does not specify an exhaustive list of relevant derived quantities and hence cannot be fully tested as written.

5.6 AG-00-25

DIAObjects are recorded with unique identifiers: PASSED


This was verified by comparing the output of select count(distinct(id)) from dia_objects; and select count(id) from dia_objects; on the Level 1 database. Both returned 546688 objects.

Measurements are Presented in Flux Units: N/A

This test was not performed, as no relevant quantities were stored in the DIAObject table. Refer to §6.6.

Each DIAObject record contains contains an appropriate set of summary attributes: PASSED

The attributes linking to the Object catalog are out of scope for this test, as they require joint



processing with DRP.

The only DIAObject property implemented at the time of test execution was a count of the number of DIASources matched to it. However, all values in the column were 1, which is not expected for a real astrophysical dataset. This was identified as due to a bug whereby association was performed correctly but the metadata was not being appropriately updated. This issue was fixed in DM-13052.

Relevant derived quantities are provided in pre-computed columns: N/A

This test was not performed, as no relevant quantities were stored in the DIAObject table. Refer to §6.6.

6 Deviations from test cases/procedures

6.1 AG-00-00

None.

6.2 AG-00-05

None.

6.3 AG-00-10

None.

6.4 AG-00-15

None.

6.5 AG-00-20

At time of carrying out the test, no "additional criteria" per DMS-REQ-0270 had been specified, so no sub-threshold DIASources were stored. No test was therefore executed to check for the existence of these DIASources. A new ticket, DM-12967, has been created to address the inadequacy of current requirements.

6.6 AG-00-25

No measurements (or aggregated measurements, such as means) were contained in the DIAObject table as implemented at the time of the test. It was therefore meaningless to test in which units they were stored.

Similarly, no pre-computed derived quantities were stored as of this test. However, we note that no such quantities are well specified by current requirements documentation.



| Attribute | Pass/Fail | Comment |
|--|-----------|---|
| the identity of the Difference Expo- | FAIL | Only implicitly available by recon- |
| sure from which it was derived | | structing dataId |
| the identity of the associated SSOb- | FAIL | Out of scope for this test; but no col- |
| ject, if any | | umn is present |
| the identity of the parent Source from | PASS | parent column is present |
| which this DIASource has been de- | | |
| blended, if any | | |
| epoch of the observation | FAIL | not present in the catalog (requires |
| | | denormalization from higher-level |
| | | metadata) |
| focal plane position centroid and er- | PASS | <pre>base_SdssCentroid_x,</pre> |
| ror (pixel) | | <pre>base_SdssCentroid_y,</pre> |
| | | base_SdssCentroid_xSigma, & |
| | | base_SdssCentroid_ySigma |
| sky position and associated error | FAIL | coord_ra and coord_dec are present, |
| (radec) | | but no error is reported |
| SNR of the detection | FAIL | no SNR is reported |
| calibrated PS flux and associated er- | PASS | base_PsfFlux_flux and |
| ror | | base_PsfFlux_fluxSigma |
| likelihood of the observed data given | FAIL | not present in the catalog |
| the PS model | | |
| calibrated aperture flux and associ- | PASS | several values of |
| ated error | | base_CircularApertureFlux_* are |
| | | present with errors |
| calibrated flux and associated error | FAIL | not present in the catalog |
| for a trailed source model | EALL | |
| length and angle of the trail | FAIL | not present in the catalog |
| flux and associated parameters for a | PASS | several dipole flux values are present |
| dipole model | DAGG | |
| parameters of an adaptive shape | PASS | base_SdssShape_* |
| measurement and associated error | EALL | |
| a measure of source extendedness | FAIL | not present in the catalog |
| the estimated background at the po- | FAIL | not present in the catalog |
| sition of the object in the template | | |
| image with associated uncertainty | EALL | |
| a measure of spuriousness | FAIL | not present in the catalog |
| flags indicating problems encoun- | PASS | many flags are present |
| tered while computing the aforemen- | | |
| tioned attributes | FA!! | |
| calibrated flux and associated error | FAIL | not present in the catalog |
| for the DIAsource as measured on | | |
| the Visit image | | |

TABLE 1: DIASource attributes, per AG-00-20.